The clerk will call the roll. The legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN), and the Senator from Florida (Mr. Rubio).

Further, if present and voting, the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE) would have voted "nav."

The result was announced—yeas 72, nays 25, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 351 Leg.]

YEAS-72

Baldwin	Graham	Padilla
Bennet	Grassley	Peters
Blumenthal	Hassan	Reed
Blunt	Heinrich	Romney
Booker	Hickenlooper	Rosen
Boozman	Hirono	Sanders
Brown	Hyde-Smith	Schatz
Burr	Kaine	Schumer
Cantwell	Kelly	Shaheen
Capito	Kennedy	Shelby
Cardin	King	Sinema
Carper	Klobuchar	Smith
Casey	Leahy	Stabenow
Cassidy	Luján	Sullivan
Collins	Manchin	Tester
Coons	Markey	Tillis
Cornyn	McConnell	Van Hollen
Cortez Masto	Menendez	Warner
Cotton	Merkley	Warnock
Duckworth	Moran	Warren
Durbin	Murkowski	Whitehouse
Ernst	Murphy	Wicker
Feinstein	Murray	Wyden
Gillibrand	Ossoff	Young

NAYS-25

Barrasso	Hawley	Rounds
Blackburn	Hoeven	Sasse
Braun	Inhofe	Scott (FL)
Cramer	Johnson	Scott (SC)
Crapo	Lankford	Thune
Cruz	Lummis	Toomev
Daines	Marshall	Tuberville
Fischer	Paul	1 4501 11110
Hagerty	Risch	

NOT VOTING-3

Rubio

Portman

(Mr. VAN HOLLEN assumed the Chair.)

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. SMITH). On this vote, the year are 72, the nays are 25.

The 60-vote threshold having been achieved, the bill, as amended, is passed.

The bill (H.R. 6833), as amended, was passed.

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, the CR is a great bipartisan bill that is going to help a whole lot of people, and we are keeping the government open with ample time.

PROVIDING FOR A CORRECTION IN THE ENROLLMENT OF H.R. 6833

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of S. Con. Res. 45, which was submitted earlier today and is at the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the concurrent resolution by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 45) providing for a correction in the enrollment of H.R. 6833.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the concurrent resolution.

Mr. SCHUMER. I ask unanimous consent that the concurrent resolution be agreed to and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 45) was agreed to.

(The concurrent resolution is printed in today's RECORD under "Submitted Resolutions.")

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I move to proceed to the motion to reconsider the vote on confirmation by which Executive Calendar No. 670, the nomination of Lisa M. Gomez, of New Jersey, to be an Assistant Secretary of Labor, was not confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion to proceed to the motion to reconsider. The motion was agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The motion having been agreed to, the Senate proceeds to executive session, and the clerk will report the nomination.

The legislative clerk read the nomination of Lisa M. Gomez, of New Jersey, to be an Assistant Secretary of Labor.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER

Mr. SCHUMER. Madam President, I move to reconsider the vote by which Executive Calendar No. 670 was not confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. question is on agreeing to the motion to reconsider.

The motion was agreed to.

VOTE ON GOMEZ NOMINATION

PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the Gomez nomination, upon reconsideration?

Mr. SCHUMER. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. I announce that the Senator from Vermont (Mr. SANDERS) is necessarily absent.

Mr. THUNE. The following Senators are necessarily absent: the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. BURR), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. CASSIDY), the Senator from South Carolina (Mr. GRAHAM), the Senator from Mississippi (Mrs. Hyde-Smith), the Senator from Wisconsin (Mr. JOHNSON), the Senator from Louisiana (Mr. KENNEDY), the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE), the Senator from Kansas (Mr. MORAN), the Senator from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN), the Senator from Florida (Mr. RUBIO), the Senator from Florida (Mr. Scott), the Senator from Alaska (Mr. SULLIVAN),

the Senator from North Carolina (Mr. TILLIS), and the Senator from Pennsylvania (Mr. Toomey).

Further, if present and voting, the Senator from Utah (Mr. LEE) would have voted "nay."

The result was announced—yeas 49, nays 36, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 352 Ex.]

YEAS-49

Baldwin	Hickenlooper	Reed
Bennet	Hirono	Rosen
Blumenthal	Kaine	Schatz
Booker	Kelly	Schumer
Brown	King	Shaheen
Cantwell	Klobuchar	Sinema
Cardin	Leahy	Smith
Carper	Luján	Stabenow
Casey	Manchin	Tester
Coons	Markey	Van Hollen
Cortez Masto	Menendez	Warner
Duckworth	Merkley	Warneck
Durbin	Murphy	
Feinstein	Murray	Warren
Gillibrand	Ossoff	Whitehouse
Hassan	Padilla	Wyden
Heinrich	Peters	

NAYS-36

Determ	3.511!
Daines	Murkowski
Ernst	Paul
Fischer	Risch
Grassley	Romney
Hagerty	Rounds
Hawley	Sasse
Hoeven	Scott (SC)
Inhofe	Shelby
Lankford	Thune
Lummis	Tuberville
Marshall	Wicker
McConnell	Young
	Fischer Grassley Hagerty Hawley Hoeven Inhofe Lankford Lummis Marshall

NOT VOTING-15

Burr	Kennedy	Sanders
Cassidy	Lee	Scott (FL)
Graham	Moran	Sullivan
Hyde-Smith	Portman	Tillis
Johnson	Rubio	Toomey

The PRESIDING OFFICER. On this vote, the yeas are 49, the nays are 36.

Upon reconsideration, the nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table, and the President will be immediately notified of the Senate's actions.

The Senator from Alabama.

NATIONAL COMPUTER FORENSICS INSTITUTE

Mr. TUBERVILLE. Madam President, over the last few decades, large parts of our daily lives—like work, education, and even personal financemoved online. The emergence of computers, the internet, and mobile devices have created a more interconnected world more than ever. But this being connected can also be used by bad actors.

The entire world of information is at our fingertips, but also, so is cyber crime. Because of this, those charged with keeping us safe have the increasingly difficult task of not only protecting us from the rising physical crime scene in our communities across the country but also from cyber crime that is impossible to see and much harder to detect.

Law enforcement officials in Alabama, my State, recognized the problem early on. In 2006—before we all had smart phones in our pockets—the Alabama Office of Prosecution Services and the Alabama District Attorneys